

REMARKS

Claims 25-37 were pending when last examined. The claims have not been amended in this response.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102

Claims 25-37 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,343,313 to Salesky (“Salesky”). Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection.

In the Final Office Action of March 29, 2005, the Examiner failed to address all limitations recited in the claims. For example, independent claims 25, 31, and 37 recite limitations such as “monitoring a DirectDraw COM interface” and a “DirectDraw region of a window for the shared application,” but the Examiner did not consider either of these limitations. Applicant submits that Salesky fails to disclose these limitations. Thus, Salesky does not anticipate the independent claims 25, 31, and 37, and their respective dependent claims 26-30 and 32-36.

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully requests that the pending claims be allowed and the case passed to issue. Should the Examiner wish to discuss the Application, it is requested that the Examiner contact the undersigned at (415) 772-1200.

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

6/29/05

Date

Richard A. Paik

Signature

Respectfully submitted,

By:



Philip W. Woo

Attorney of Record

Registration No. 39,880

PWW/rp

June 29, 2005

SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP

555 California Street, Suite 2000

San Francisco, CA 94104-1715